

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/584,308	06/23/2006	Harue Nakashima	0756-7725	8956	
31780 7590 01/05/2011 Robinson Intellectual Property Law Office, P.C.			EXAMINER		
3975 Fair Ridg	ge Drive	,	YANG, JAY		
Suite 20 North Fairfax, VA 22			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1786		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/05/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/584,308		NAKASHIMA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	J. L. YANG	1786		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -THE REPLY FILED 21 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MIPEP 706.07(1).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filling a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note: the attached information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:
/D Lawrence Tarazano/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1786

Response to Arguments:

- 1. The Applicant argues that the R1 group of compound 19 disclosed by Kitahora et al. does not mee the limitation of Claim 1 where R1 = any group having 6-25 carbon atoms. It is the position of the Examiner that the claim can be interpreted to mean that for compound 19, the aryl group refers only to the phenyl group (aryl group having 6 carbon atoms) that is substituted with a amine group N(aryl)2 where N(aryl)2 is not an anyl group.
- 2. The Applicant further argues that there is no rationale for modify the compound 19 of Kilahora et al., to produce a compound such that AG = A/4 = A/5 = phenyl to have a total of 18 carbon atoms. As stated in the previous Office Action and elaborated further herein, Kitahora et al. allows for the possibility of a range of aromatic groups that is substituted to the nitrogen and not particularly limited to those disclosed in compound 19 (20/17). It is the position of the Examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art at the most of the invention can be motivated to modify compound 19 such that A/3 = A/4 = A/5 = phenyl identical/similar to the substituents shown in compounds 1-3 in the course of exeminentation in order to funce to charge-transporting properties of the material for which it is used.